

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/802,556 03/12/2001		03/12/2001	Jaroslav Hynecek	ISE103	9129	
27382	7590	04/12/2006		EXAMINER		
JOHN E.			YODER III, CHRISS S			
LEWISVII		FRWY., SUITE 200 75067	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2622	2622	
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/802,556	HYNECEK, JAROSLAV			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Chriss S. Yoder, III	2622			

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Chriss S. Yoder, III	2622						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		-	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	, ,	jected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		nmntiant Amendment	(PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amenament	(1 102-024).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 5-6. Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeat will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	<u>not</u> be entered is necessary					
. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after (entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
		Lize						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)



Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In regard to claim 1, the newly added limitation that "the width of the CCD registers varies in proportion to the increasing amount of charge depending on the number of CCD stages that include charge multiplication devices" raises new issues that would require further search and/or consideration because this limitation was not previously present in this claim group.

In regard to claim 9, the newly added limitation that "the width of the CCD register-stages and the number of charge-multiplication elements in at least some of its stages varies according to a predetermined formula which has an exponential dependency on the number of CCD stages that include charge multiplication devices" raises new issues that would require further search and/or consideration because this limitation was not previously present in this claim group.